

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 - MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: APRIL 20, 2004

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: FINANCIAL DISTRICT

COMMITTEE VOTE: 8 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 33 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: Wall Street Rising Corridor of Light initiative

WHEREAS: When one compares the nighttime Midtown landscape to the Financial District, Lower Manhattan looks deserted and bleak, and

WHEREAS: The Wall Street Rising *Corridor of Light* project will illuminate 19 building façades in the Financial District, and

WHEREAS: The *Corridor of Light* project will encourage vibrancy and vitality in the neighborhood and will provide additional security to residents, workers and visitors, and

WHEREAS: The project will highlight various architectural and historical features, and

WHEREAS: The project will provide uniformity and consistency with respect to the lighting of the participating buildings, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 supports the implementation of this important initiative, and

THEREFORE
BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 supports efforts by Wall Street Rising to obtain LMDC funding of approximately \$100,000 for the expansion of this project.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 - MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: APRIL 20, 2004

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE: 7 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 2 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 30 In Favor 0 Opposed 3 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: 67 Reade Street, wine and beer license application for Kiss Cafe

WHEREAS: The applicant proposes to operate a restaurant with 25 tables with 50 seats,
and

WHEREAS: The proposed maximum hours of operation will be 6 AM until 10 PM
Monday to Friday, and 8 AM until 11 PM Saturday and Sunday, and

WHEREAS: The applicant agreed to have quiet background music only as appropriate
for an establishment located where it is, and to provide adequate sound-
proofing, and

WHEREAS: The applicant represented that it will not be seeking a sidewalk café
license or a cabaret license, and

WHEREAS: The applicant agreed to add these conditions to the SLA application, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 does not oppose the application for a new beer and wine license for
the Kiss Café at 67 Reade Street for a period of two years, subject to
compliance by the applicant with the limitations and conditions set forth
above.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 - MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: APRIL 20, 2004

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE: 7 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 2 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 30 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 3 Recused

RE: 3 York Street, liquor license application for Solounge, Inc.

WHEREAS: The applicant proposes to operate a restaurant with 10 tables with 20 seats and a bar with 21 seats, and

WHEREAS: The proposed maximum hours of operation will be 11:30 AM until 12 AM Monday to Thursday, and 11:30 AM until 2 AM Thursday to Saturday, and

WHEREAS: The applicant agreed to have quiet background music only as appropriate for an establishment located where it is, and to provide adequate sound-proofing, and

WHEREAS: The applicant represented that it will not be seeking a sidewalk café license or a cabaret license, and

WHEREAS: The applicant agreed to add these conditions to the SLA application, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 does not oppose the new liquor license application for Solounge, Inc. at 3 York Street for a period of two years subject to compliance by the applicant with the limitations and conditions set forth above.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 - MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: APRIL 20, 2004

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE: 7 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 2 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 30 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 3 Recused

RE: 305 Church Street, sidewalk café renewal application for the Burrito Bar

WHEREAS: The applicant has applied for a renewal of its sidewalk cafe license for 9 tables and 18 seats, and

WHEREAS: The proposed hours of operation will continue to be 12 noon until midnight Sunday through Thursday, and noon until 1 AM Friday and Saturday, and

WHEREAS: The applicant agreed to post a sign in the window indicating hours of operation, and

WHEREAS: CB #1 has not received any complaints of loud noise or opposition from the community, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 supports the renewal of the sidewalk café license for The Burrito Bar at 305 Church Street for a period of two years subject to compliance by the applicant with the limitations and conditions set forth above.

04res.april

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 - MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: APRIL 20, 2004

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE: 7 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 2 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 32 In Favor 1 Opposed 0 Abstained 2 Recused

RE: 107 West Broadway, sidewalk cafe renewal application for Gloria Tribeca Mex., Inc.

WHEREAS: The applicant has applied for a renewal of its sidewalk cafe license for 15 tables and 38 seats, and

WHEREAS: The proposed hours of operation will continue to be 11:30 AM until 11 PM Sunday through Wednesday, and 11:30 AM until midnight Thursday through Saturday, and

WHEREAS: CB #1 has not received any complaints of loud noise or opposition from the community, but the applicant failed to appear before the Committee, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 does not object to the renewal of the sidewalk café license for Gloria Tribeca Mex., Inc. at 107 West Broadway for a period of two years provided that the applicant agrees to post hours of operation in the window, and that no community objections are heard at the meeting at which this resolution is considered.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 - MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: APRIL 20, 2004

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE: 4 In Favor 2 Opposed 1 Abstained 2 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 32 In Favor 1 Opposed 1 Abstained 3 Recused

RE: 120 Hudson Street, sidewalk cafe renewal application for Bubby's Pie Co., Inc.

WHEREAS: The applicant has applied for a renewal of its sidewalk cafe license for 18 tables and 42 seats, and

WHEREAS: The hours of operation will continue to be 8 AM to midnight on Monday and Thursday, 8 AM to 11 PM on Tuesday and Wednesday, 8 AM to 1 AM on Friday and Saturday, and noon to 11 PM on Sunday, and

WHEREAS: The applicant agreed to post a sign in the window indicating hours of operation, and

WHEREAS: CB #1 has received complaints of noise, sidewalk congestion on the North Moore Street side, and some opposition from the community, and

WHEREAS: The applicant agreed to remove existing planters, maintain an 8-foot clearance to the curb at all times, and restrict tables with 4 seats located on the North Moore Street side of the building to the corner near Hudson Street, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 supports the renewal of the sidewalk café license for Bubby's Pie Co., Inc. at 120 Hudson Street, provided that existing planters are eliminated, an 8-foot clearance to the curb is maintained at all times, and tables with 4 seats on the North Moore Street side of the building are restricted to the corner near Hudson Street.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 - MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: APRIL 20, 2004

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE: 9 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 39 In Favor 0 Opposed 1 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: 8-10 Warren Street, application for new sidewalk including a 4' x 6' glass paver insert

WHEREAS: The owners of 8-10 Warren Street have proposed a new sidewalk installation that would include a 4' x 6' glass paver insert, and

WHEREAS: The glass insert would allow natural light in the office area beneath the sidewalk, and

WHEREAS: The glass pavers would be 12 inches square, 2 inches thick, and have a nonskid surface, and

WHEREAS: This treatment has been used in other locations in the district (such as 5 Harrison Street) without any reported problems, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 has no objection to the installation of a 4' x 6' glass paver insert in the sidewalk in front of 8-10 Warren Street.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 - MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: APRIL 20, 2004

COMMITTEES OF ORIGIN: WTC REDEVELOPMENT AND
LANDMARKS

BOARD VOTE: 33 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: Section 106 Draft Programmatic Agreement

WHEREAS: The Lower Manhattan Development Corporation's Draft Programmatic Agreement with the State Historic Preservation Office and the Section 106 consulting parties is a dramatic and promising attempt to find common ground with many of the competing interests expressed by the consulting parties, and

WHEREAS: The Agreement addresses a number of weaknesses inherent in the LMDC's proposed Finding of No Adverse Effect in the designation of the World Trade Center site as a national historic site, and

WHEREAS: The Agreement offers a mechanism for continued review and a flexible process for examining unforeseen circumstances that may arise in the future, and

WHEREAS: Community Board #1 believes that the reports summarizing measures taken to comply with the terms of the Agreement should be issued quarterly, instead of semi-annually, as described in Item 9 of the draft, and

WHEREAS: The Community Board assumes that the Final Generic Environmental Impact Statement for the Plan, as described in Item 2, which members of the Board have not seen, is or will be the same as the draft GEIS, which members have seen, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 endorses the proposed Agreement with the caveats mentioned above, and applauds the LMDC for its efforts.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 - MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: APRIL 20, 2004

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: WTC REDEVELOPMENT

BOARD VOTE: 29 In Favor 3 Opposed 6 Abstained 1 Recused

RE: Allocation of the remaining \$1 billion in federal redevelopment funds for the rebuilding of Lower Manhattan

WHEREAS: The Lower Manhattan Development Corporation will soon decide how to allocate the remaining \$1 billion in federal redevelopment funds for the rebuilding of Lower Manhattan, and

WHEREAS: Community Board #1 has been advocating for some time for a number of important new community projects that we feel will significantly enhance the economic future and vitality of Lower Manhattan in our continuing recovery from the events of 9/11, and

WHEREAS: Great progress has been made in terms of developing plans to rebuild the 16 acre World Trade Center site which is to include an appropriate memorial, restored commercial space including the world's tallest building, a world class cultural center, museums, retail space and open space, and

WHEREAS: The revitalization of Lower Manhattan must also restore and enhance the areas adjacent to the World Trade Center site which have also suffered physically, psychologically and economically from the 9/11 attacks, and

WHEREAS: While building a first rate transportation infrastructure into and out of Lower Manhattan is a most important and worthy goal that we support, our community cannot be adequately rebuilt without addressing these most critical non-transportation needs of our district, and

WHEREAS: This will clearly be our best and perhaps only opportunity to get these long requested projects funded and built which we believe to be essential to the recovery and revitalization of Lower Manhattan, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 strongly urges the Lower Manhattan Development Corp. and all public officials to insure that the following priorities of our community are adequately funded and built utilizing federal Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funds:

- 1) Revitalization of the East River Waterfront
- 2) The completion of Segment 3 of Hudson River Park
- 3) Fulton Street revitalization: street reconstruction, new lighting, improved retail services and cultural facilities, and additional open space
- 4) A new K-8th grade public school on the east side
- 5) A community recreation and cultural centers (92nd Street Y) and some funding for smaller community and arts organizations including Manhattan Youth Recreation and Resources
- 6) Upgrading of NYU Downtown Hospital
- 7) Streetscape improvements throughout the district
- 8) A new NYPL branch in Battery Park City
- 9) A small business marketing campaign
- 10) Commuter bus storage facility
- 11) Affordable housing
- 12) Funds to mitigate construction impacts, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 also strongly urges the LMDC and NYSDOT to use any funds allocated, or to be allocated, for the proposed West Street short by-pass, which will cost approximately \$1 billion, for funding of the more important LIRR/JFK Airport train connection project, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 also urges that the \$350 million allocated for the South Ferry Station improvements instead be allocated to the LIRR/JFK project, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 supports and endorses the proposed LIRR/JFK Airport train connection but urges that funding for this project come from the \$4.55 billion federal transportation set-aside and that the remaining CDBG funds only be utilized for this project if the above listed non-transportation projects are adequately funded and only if such CDBG funds can make up for a shortfall after the balance of the funding for the LIRR/JFK Airport project has been secured, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: All projects to be built utilizing CDBG funds must come before the Community Board for full review and comment to insure that these crucial projects reflect the needs and wishes of our community.

04res.april

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 - MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: APRIL 20, 2004

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: BATTERY PARK CITY

COMMITTEE VOTE: 7 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 34 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 1 Recused

RE: 320 South End Avenue, application to renew the sidewalk cafe permit for
 an enclosed cafe with 27 tables and 60 seats

WHEREAS: Foxhounds Restaurant has operated with an outdoor cafe for several years,
 and

WHEREAS: Foxhounds has a proven track record in Battery Park City and conforms to
 all City guidelines, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 approves the sidewalk café permit application for
 Foxhounds Restaurant at 320 South End Avenue.

04res.april

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 - MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: APRIL 20, 2004

COMMITTEES OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA, SEAPORT/CIVIC CENTER
AND FINANCIAL DISTRICT

COMMITTEE VOTE: 25 In Favor 0 Opposed 1 Abstained 2 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 37 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: Proposed new public pay telephones

WHEREAS: CB #1 has received 21 proposed locations for the installation of new curbside public pay telephones (PPTs) in our district, and

WHEREAS: The 1995 City law which now governs PPTs allows for the installation of new public telephones at the curbside with advertising, subject to certain restrictions, and

WHEREAS: These regulations have enabled a number of new companies to enter the PPT market in NYC, and

WHEREAS: The sidewalks of CB #1 are among the busiest and most congested in the world and are already filled with mailboxes, newsstands, sign poles, hydrants, street lights, parking meters, street vendors, newspaper boxes etc., and

WHEREAS: Many of our streets are extremely narrow, dating to the 1700s and 1800s, and were never intended to accommodate the many thousands of pedestrians using them everyday, and

WHEREAS: Several of our streets have been converted into pedestrian malls to accommodate the ever growing number of pedestrians, and

WHEREAS: Our Community Board, as well as the local BID (the Alliance for Downtown NY) are on record in favor of limiting additional unnecessary street furniture due to the congestion on our sidewalks, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 disapproves the following 21 new PPTs which are proposed on sidewalks which are already too congested and/or narrow and are filled with considerable existing street furniture including pay phones.

COMMUNITY BOARD 1 - MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: APRIL 20, 2004

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE: 12 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 37 In Favor 0 Opposed 3 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: Site 5C ULURP Application for 200 Chambers Street

WHEREAS: Community Board #1, as a participating community organization in the Uniform Land Use Review Procedure (ULURP), is required to respond publicly to the request of the New York City Economic Development Corporation (EDC) to dispose of city owned land or grant a special permit under the New York City Zoning Resolution, and

WHEREAS: The proposed disposition and development of New York City owned land designated as Site 5C in the former Washington Street Urban Renewal Plan meets the criteria for public review and comment, and

WHEREAS: In connection with the creation of the Washington Street Urban Renewal Plan, New York City exercised the power of eminent domain to condemn and acquire for the land of which Site 5C is part for a public purpose and under a specific urban renewal plan, and

WHEREAS: The spirit of the intended use of land within the Washington Street Urban Renewal Plan should continue to be respected despite the fact that applicable legal restrictions have changed due to the passage of time and the events of September 11th, and

WHEREAS: Accordingly, Site 5C should not be developed in a manner detrimental to the interests of local students, residents and business owners but instead should be developed in keeping with the original intent of the Washington Street Urban Renewal Plan so as to improve and enhance the quality of life in this neighborhood, and

WHEREAS: Reselling land condemned 44 years ago for a public purpose directly to one individual profit-making developer may appear improper, when compared to using the normal public auction process (with restrictive covenants limiting the height and requiring a real public facility of 40,000 square feet), and

WHEREAS: The Community Board has reviewed, over the past decades, various proposals for Site 5C, including a 2001 proposal for a 135' tall residential building with an 18,000 square foot community center designed under height limitations applicable to new construction on Site 5C in accordance with the Washington Street Urban Renewal Plan at that time, and

WHEREAS: Following the expiration of the Washington Street Urban Renewal Plan, the New York City Economic Development Corporation (EDC), in coordination with their proposed developer, presented a new proposal for Site 5C, including an approximately 10,000 square foot "urban plaza," a 360' tall residential building along West Street and an 18,000 square foot community center in late 2002, and

WHEREAS: The EDC has submitted an application for the grant of a special permit to modify the height and setback regulations of the zoning resolution to facilitate the development of the proposed 360' tall mixed use building on Site 5C (ULURP application), and

WHEREAS: The Community Board has, over the last several years, met many times with EDC, who also represented the developer, and the Department of City Planning (DCP) in an effort to modify the development scheme proposed, and

WHEREAS: On October 15, 2002, the Community Board passed a unanimous resolution reiterating its position that the proposal be modified by lowering the height of the residential tower and increasing the size of the proposed community center from 18,000 square feet to 40,000 square feet, and

WHEREAS: On various occasions in 2003, members of the community, including, but not limited to, representatives from all the local elementary schools, residents of Independence Plaza North, and the chairs of several Community Board committees provided testimony and submitted written comments for the Environmental Impact Statement scoping session organized by EDC uniformly requesting that alternative schemes for Site 5C be reviewed and explored, that more consideration be paid to the needs of the children at the local schools, younger children who play in Washington Market Park and that the needs of all children in the community for a new sizable recreation center for Manhattan Youth Recreation and Resources, the operator of all our local after school programs as well as a wide range of other free youth and recreation programs throughout the district, and

WHEREAS: On October 21, 2003, the Community Board unanimously passed another resolution regarding Site 5C specifically requesting that the community recreation center be at least 40,000 square feet, the plaza be eliminated, and most importantly that “the size and bulk of this project be greatly reduced, to at most a building with an FAR of 7.5 and a height of 25 floors”, and

WHEREAS: The Community Board subsequently continued to meet with various EDC officials and DCP representatives in its continuing effort to have its voice heard and its concerns addressed in a reasonable manner, and

WHEREAS: On January 20, 2004, the Community Board passed another unanimous resolution once again reiterating its concern that the long term needs of our children be adequately met with a 40,000 square foot community center, and

WHEREAS: On March 30, 2004, the Community Board helped arrange a special public hearing attended by approximately 500 community residents, EDC and the proposed developer of Site 5C at which the proposed development that is the subject of the ULURP application was formally and thoroughly presented to the community, and

WHEREAS: Every resident and business owner that publicly commented on the proposal voiced concerns about the inadequate size of the proposed community center, the significant impact of shadows on Washington Market Park, PS 234 and PS/IS 89, the size of the street walls over PS 234 as well as their general dissatisfaction with the concept of an “urban” plaza and the building height “bonus” that it would provide the proposed developer, and

WHEREAS: In response to the overwhelming sentiments expressed by the residents and business owners of its community, the Community Board wishes to once again strongly and unequivocally register its opposition to the current proposal and the disposition of New York City owned land and the granting of a special permit under the New York City Zoning Resolution, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 reaffirms and restates in their entirety its unanimous resolutions dated October 15, 2002, October 21, 2003, and January 20, 2004, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 insists on a real public use for the proposed development and demands that the size of any community and recreation center proposed for Site 5C be at least 40,000 square feet in size, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 rejects the disposition process of selling this condemned parcel to one individual profit-making developer through EDC and recommends the usual public auction process after the Department of City Planning helps to develop restrictive covenants limiting the height and requiring a real public use such as a 40,000 s.f. community recreational facility, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 demands that the “urban’ plaza be eliminated from the proposed design and that the size and bulk of this project be reduced to a building not exceeding an FAR of 7.5 and a height of 25 floors configured so as not to cast shadows on Washington Market Park and to minimize adverse effects on Chambers Street and adjacent and nearby schools, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1, after holding an open public session attended by over 500 residents and business owners, EDC, the proposed developer of Site 5C, its architects, land use attorneys and various other professionals and where the proposal for the development of Site 5C was thoroughly presented and discussed, strongly urges that the request for the disposition of New York City owned land be rejected and the application for a special permit under the New York City Zoning Resolution as requested by EDC and the developer also be rejected, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: For the reasons stated above, Community Board #1 recommends rejection of the ULURP application in its entirety, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 supports reasonable development on Site 5C but strongly believes that any such development should be subject to appropriate restrictions to ensure that the height of any proposed structure is limited to prevent shadows on Washington Market Park and that any proposed development provide for a real public use fulfilling the needs of residents of the neighborhood, including the children who attend adjacent local schools and depend on nearby parks, and the local business community, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: The City administration continue to meet with the Community Board and local elected officials to insure a development on the site which meets all community needs, including 40,000 s.f. of recreation space, avoidance of shadows on park and play areas, and appropriate height and bulk as set forth in this and prior resolutions.

COMMUNITY BOARD 1 - MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: APRIL 20, 2004

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: FINANCIAL DISTRICT

COMMITTEE VOTE: 7 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

BOARD VOTE: 33 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: Proposed fountain for the new Wall Street Park

WHEREAS: The NYC Department of Parks and Recreation has proposed the installation of a water fountain for the new park on Wall Street between Water and South Streets, and

WHEREAS: This fountain will be donated by Deutsche Bank in remembrance of 9/11, and

WHEREAS: This water feature element will greatly enhance this new green space, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 supports this new public amenity.

COMMUNITY BOARD 1 - MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: APRIL 20, 2004

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: FINANCIAL DISTRICT

COMMITTEE VOTE: 8 In Favor 0 Opposed 1 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 32 In Favor 1 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: Proposed temporary sculpture for Bowling Green by Owen Morrell
entitled "K Tower"

WHEREAS: The NYC Department of Parks and Recreation has proposed the
temporary siting of a sculpture by Owen Morrell entitled "K Tower" for
the cobble stone area in front of the Custom House at Bowling Green, and

WHEREAS: This 13' high by 15' wide free standing steel sculpture will be exhibited
from July-December, and

WHEREAS: The artist will be responsible for all maintenance and liability issues
related to the exhibit, and

WHEREAS: Community Board #1 has a long history of endorsing the exhibition of
public art, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 supports the temporary installation of "K-Tower"
by Owen Morrell at Bowling Green for a period of 6 months.

COMMUNITY BOARD 1 - MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: APRIL 20, 2004

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: FINANCIAL DISTRICT

COMMITTEE VOTE: 6 In Favor 1 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 31 In Favor 2 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: Proposed art installation for Coenties Slip

WHEREAS: The NYC Department of Parks and Recreation has proposed the installation of a sculpture by Bryan Hunt for the new park space at Coenties Slip, and

WHEREAS: This sculpture is made of stainless steel with a block glass base and is 20 feet tall, and

WHEREAS: The sculpture is reminiscent of the sailing ships which were docked at Coenties Slip, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 supports the permanent installation of the sculpture by Bryan Hunt at Coenties Slip.

COMMUNITY BOARD 1 - MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: APRIL 20, 2004

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE: 6 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 31 In Favor 0 Opposed 1 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: 56 Walker Street, application to install new storefront infill and to remove shutters on the rear facade

WHEREAS: The applicant did not attend the meeting, and

WHEREAS: The LPC heard the applicant at their meeting earlier in April and the Commissioners' comments were not known by the Committee, but the record is being held open for the Community Board comments, and

WHEREAS: The Committee was concerned that there might be an impression that applicants do not need to present to the committee if they have already been heard by the Commission since there are now two public hearings a month, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 recommends that the Landmarks Preservation Commission do not proceed until the applicant presents to the CB #1 Landmarks Committee and LPC is given the opportunity to consider the Community Board resolution.

COMMUNITY BOARD 1 - MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: APRIL 20, 2004

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE: 6 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 33 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: Landmarking of 140 Nassau St. and concern for historical buildings
 bordering the NYU Downtown Hospital parking lot site

WHEREAS: 140 Nassau Street, the Morse Building, designed by the firm of Silliman
 and Farnsworth and built in 1880 was one of New York's first
 skyscrapers, and

WHEREAS: The Morse Building is described in American Architect as "The first of
 the noteworthy attempts to build in brick alone ... no example of brick-
 work built since in which moulded brick and colored brick have been used
 with more fitness and sobriety", and

WHEREAS: The building has long sought the landmark status it rightfully deserves,
 and

WHEREAS: The impending development of the adjacent NYU Downtown Hospital
 parking lot threatens the survival of a building built with thick bearing
 walls, wrought iron beams and corrugated iron arches, and

WHEREAS: It is the only building not landmarked on Nassau Street between Spruce
 and Beekman Streets, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 strongly recommends that the Landmarks Preservation Commission
 carefully consider and urgently approve this application, and monitor the
 impact of the development at the NYU Downtown Hospital parking lot on
 this important landmark district.

COMMUNITY BOARD 1 - MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: APRIL 20, 2004

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: SEAPORT/CIVIC CENTER

COMMITTEE VOTE: 5 In Favor 1 Opposed 0 Abstained 1 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 31 In Favor 0 Opposed 1 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: 146 Beekman Street, liquor license application for the Manhattan Island Group

WHEREAS: The applicant will operate a restaurant with 5 tables with 20 seats and a bar with 20 seats, and

WHEREAS: The hours of operation will be 8AM until 10 PM Sunday to Thursday and 8 AM until 2 AM Friday to Saturday, and

WHEREAS: The applicant will have background music only and agrees to provided adequate sound-proofing, and

WHEREAS: The applicant will not be seeking a sidewalk café license nor will be seeking a cabaret license, and

WHEREAS: The applicant agreed to add these conditions to the SLA application, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 does not oppose the new liquor license application for Manhattan Island Group at 146 Beekman Street for a period of two years.

COMMUNITY BOARD 1 - MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: APRIL 20, 2004

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

BOARD VOTE: 37 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: New fuel storage tank at 60 Hudson Street

WHEREAS: At the very same time that the Department of Buildings (DOB) is under active discussion with Councilmember Alan Gerson, CB #1 and N.A.N regarding the diesel fuel at 60 Hudson Street, DOB approved the installation of a new huge above ground fuel storage tank, and

WHEREAS: This tank was installed on Sunday April_____ without any prior notification to the Councilmember or the community and

WHEREAS: The community regard the amount of diesel fuel already stored in 60 Hudson Street as a clear and present danger that threatens the health and well being of those who live and work near by, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 is offended by the arrogant abuse of good will and power in this matter, and

BE IT

FURTHER

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 demands that the Department of Buildings meet with Councilmember Alan Gerson and community representatives to explain this situation and hold ongoing discussion prior to issuance of any such future installations at 60 Hudson Street of such urgent interest to this neighborhood.

COMMUNITY BOARD 1 - MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: APRIL 20, 2004

BOARD VOTE: 19 In Favor 11 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: Street fair permit applications

BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: All Community Board #1 recommendations to approve street fair permits go to the appropriate committee for a resolution to be voted upon by the full board.

04res.april