

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN  
RESOLUTION

DATE: OCTOBER 16, 2007

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: EXECUTIVE

COMMITTEE VOTE: 10 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused  
BOARD VOTE: 37 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: Capital and Expense Budget Requests for FY 2009

BE IT  
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 recommends the funding of the following (on the attached) budget requests for FY 2009.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN  
RESOLUTION

DATE: OCTOBER 16, 2007

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: EXECUTIVE

COMMITTEE VOTE: 11 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused  
BOARD VOTE: 37 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: Support of Borough President Stringer's proposal for a West Harlem  
Special District

WHEREAS: The Manhattan Borough President's Office is involved in a comprehensive  
planning effort in CB #9, and

WHEREAS: The Manhattan Borough President's Office has asked for support from  
CB#1 for the concept of the Borough President's preparation of a West  
Harlem Special District, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board One authorizes the Chairperson of CB#1 to vote  
affirmatively at the Borough Board meeting to approve the concept of the  
Borough President's involvement in a comprehensive land use planning  
initiative as requested by another Manhattan community board, and

BE IT

FURTHER

RESOLVED

THAT: CB#1 supports the effort by CB #9 to develop its own comprehensive  
plan.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN  
RESOLUTION

DATE: OCTOBER 16, 2007

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: FINANCIAL DISTRICT

COMMITTEE VOTE:	5 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	32 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: Proposed street closure from November 3-November 25, 2007, Thames Street between Broadway and Trinity Place by Suspenders Restaurant (WEEKEND ONLY)

BE IT  
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 does not oppose the proposed street activity permit submitted by Suspenders Restaurant to close Thames Street between Broadway and Trinity Place from November 3 to November 25, 2007 (WEEKENDS ONLY) (Saturday and Sunday's) during the hours of 8 AM – 10 PM.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN  
RESOLUTION

DATE: OCTOBER 16, 2007

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: FINANCIAL DISTRICT

COMMITTEE VOTE: 5 In Favor      0 Opposed      0 Abstained      0 Recused  
BOARD VOTE:      32 In Favor      0 Opposed      0 Abstained      0 Recused

RE:                    22 Maiden Lane, application for wine and beer license for Sushi A La  
Kawa 22 Inc.

WHEREAS: The applicant seeks an on-Premises wine and beer license for Sushi A La  
Kawa 22 Inc. at 22 Maiden Lane, and

WHEREAS: The proposed hours of operation are 11 AM to 11:00 PM seven days a  
week, and

WHEREAS: The applicant will have recorded music, and

WHEREAS: The space has a total square footage of 600, and

WHEREAS: Te applicant will not seek a cabaret license, and

WHEREAS: The applicant will not seek a sidewalk café license, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT:                CB#1 does not oppose the liquor license application by Sushi A La Kawa  
22 Inc. at 22 Maiden Lane, subject to compliance by the applicant.



COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN  
RESOLUTION

DATE: OCTOBER 16, 2007

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: FINANCIAL DISTRICT

COMMITTEE VOTE: 6 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

BOARD VOTE: 32 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: Wine and beer license application for 30 Water Street LLC, d/b/a Burger Shop, 30 Water Street

WHEREAS: The applicant seeks an On-Premises Wine and Beer License for 30 Water Street LLC, d/b/a Burger Shop, 30 Water Street, and

WHEREAS: The proposed hours of operation are 11 AM to midnight Sunday through Thursday and 11 AM to 2 AM Friday and Saturday, and

WHEREAS: The applicant will have background music, and

WHEREAS: The space has a total square footage of 1,700, and

WHEREAS: The applicant will not seek a cabaret license, and

WHEREAS: The applicant will not seek a sidewalk café license, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB#1 does not oppose the liquor license application by 30 Water Street LLC, d/b/a Burger Shop, 30 Water Street, subject to compliance by the applicant.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN  
RESOLUTION

DATE: OCTOBER 16, 2007

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE:	6 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC MEMBER VOTE:	1 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	37 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: 46 Laight Street, LPC application for restoration of front façade with modifications and rebuilding of rear facade and construction of two story addition

WHEREAS: The building has been issued two stop work orders for unapproved work, which the owner said was for emergency remedial work to secure a wall that was collapsing, and

WHEREAS: The proposed two story rooftop addition would add 25 feet to the height of the building, making it a total of 84 feet high and utilizing almost all the available FAR of 5, and

WHEREAS: The restoration of the façade was appropriate, and

WHEREAS: Public comment from 3 local residents raised concerns over the work, and

WHEREAS: The Committee felt the roof addition was too much bulk, didn't read well against the adjoining buildings, was not contextual, and the building owner agreed to table the LPC hearing scheduled for October 27 and present revised drawings to the Committee at its November 8 meeting, now

THEREFORE  
BE IT  
RESOLVED

THAT: CB#1 and the building owner recommend that the Landmarks Preservation Commission hold over this application until after November 8.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN  
RESOLUTION

DATE: OCTOBER 16, 2007

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE:	6 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC MEMBER VOTE:	1 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	37 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: 60 Hudson Street, LPC application for installation of Bollards

WHEREAS: This application calls for the installation of three exterior bronze bollards at the Hudson Street entrance of 60 Hudson Street (a.k.a. the Western Union Building) between the prosceniums, and three interior bronze bollards inside the West Broadway vestibule, and

WHEREAS: The application itself gives the lie to years of the building management pontification to the neighborhood before the Buildings Department, the Bureau of Standards and Appeals and other public hearings that 60 Hudson Street is benign and safe, not a security risk, and needing no special protections, and

WHEREAS: In the absurd context of pure aesthetics, divorced from any rational context, the Community Board finds that the outside bollards are relatively unobtrusive and acceptable, and that the interior bollards in their current configuration and design mar one of the most breathtaking Art Deco lobbies in Manhattan, now

THEREFORE  
BE IT  
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 recommends that, if the Landmarks Preservation Commission cannot grapple with the issue of security in a larger context here, then it approve the three street bollards and reject the current design of the interior bollards.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN  
RESOLUTION

DATE: OCTOBER 16, 2007

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE:	6 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC MEMBER VOTE:	0 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	37 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: 345 Greenwich Street, LPC application for replacement of windows

WHEREAS: This application calls for the replacement of three windows in this building in the Tribeca West Historic District, and

WHEREAS: The request specifies Marvin aluminum-frame replacements, and

WHEREAS: A master plan exists for this building, perhaps in response to the appearance of errant window air conditioner units in the past, and

WHEREAS: Although the applicant stated that the Landmarks Preservation Commission says the application is inconsistent with the master plan, we could not discern the discrepancy, with one minor detail exception and a difference in vendor, now

THEREFORE  
BE IT  
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 recommends that the Landmarks Preservation Commission approve this application, assuming it is in fact consistent with the building's master plan.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN  
RESOLUTION

DATE: OCTOBER 16, 2007

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE:	4 In Favor	1 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC MEMBER VOTE:	0 In Favor	0 Opposed	1 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	36 In Favor	1 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: 44 Lispenard Street, LPC application for 2 story rooftop addition

WHEREAS: The building is located in the Special Tribeca Mixed Use District (TMU) and has a maximum 5 FAR, and

WHEREAS: The proposed rooftop addition consists of a new six floor and a penthouse and when built will be within the permitted floor area ratio, and

WHEREAS: The proposed addition will be minimally visible from Church Street, Greene Street and West Broadway and is not as large or as visible as a recently completed two-story addition on the immediately adjacent building on Lispenard Street.

WHEREAS: The proposed addition will have metal cladding of an unspecified type and color, and

WHEREAS: The Committee agreed that the proposed addition was well designed and would be minimally visible and approved the application subject to review and approval of the type and color of the cladding to be used, now

THEREFORE  
BE IT  
RESOLVED

THAT: CB#1 recommends that the Landmarks Preservation Commission approve the application.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN  
RESOLUTION

DATE: OCTOBER 16, 2007

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE:	5 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC MEMBER VOTE:	1 In Favor	0 Opposed	1 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	37 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: 14 Wall Street, LPC application for ground floor storefront, signage and entry alterations

WHEREAS: The building is located at the corner of Wall and Nassau Streets in the heart of the central business district in Lower Manhattan, and

WHEREAS: The original tower was completed in 1912 to the design of Trowbridge & Livingston, was significantly expanded in 1937 by the addition of buildings surrounding the tower, and was last modified in the 1980s, and

WHEREAS: The principal entrance to the building was originally in the Wall Street façade of the tower but was subsequently modified and security bollards were introduced after September 11, 2001, and

WHEREAS: The proposed changes contemplated by the application include the installation of a new canopy made of bronze and clear glass and other changes to the principal and secondary entrances and existing storefronts, the removal of elements such as bronze inserts and coverings and the restoration of original stone facings, the introduction of a more cohesive signage system and a more sympathetic lighting scheme and the addition of more flagpoles for banners, and

WHEREAS: The proposed changes also include the addition of granite sidewalks, a glass backing to the existing rooftop railing, and the removal of roll down shutters and the restoration of the frieze on the Pine Street façade of the building, and

WHEREAS: The overall goal of the proposed changes is to create a more a more cohesive ground floor and exterior design and to help observers see the building as a unified whole, and

WHEREAS: The Committee uniformly agreed that the proposed changes were cohesive and well thought out, that they were appropriately sensitive to the original, historically significant design of the building, and that when completed the building would be much better looking, now

THEREFORE  
BE IT  
RESOLVED

THAT: CB#1 recommends that the Landmarks Preservation Commission approve  
the subject application.

07resoct16

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN  
RESOLUTION

DATE: OCTOBER 16, 2007

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE:	5 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC MEMBER VOTE:	1 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	37 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: Building 550, Governors Island, LPC application for minor exterior alterations to accommodate school use of former medical building

WHEREAS: The Master plan does not address the conversion of the parking lot into a garden and basketball court, which is the basis of this application, and

WHEREAS: The asphalt parking lot in front of the former medical building will be replaced with grass and brick paving, a traditionally planted garden, pergola and basketball court, and

WHEREAS: The medical building, under the Master plan is being converted for school use, requiring existing windows to be replaced with seven door openings of appropriate design for the building,

WHEREAS: The attic will house internal mechanical equipment, requiring the windows to be removed, stored for future potential re-use, and louver windows to be fitted, and

WHEREAS: The Committee agreed that the proposed changes were appropriate, well thought out, appropriately sensitive, and a significant improvement, now

THEREFORE  
BE IT  
RESOLVED

THAT: CB#1 strongly recommends that the Landmarks Preservation Commission approve the subject application.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN  
RESOLUTION

DATE: OCTOBER 16, 2007

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE:	5 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC MEMBER VOTE:	1 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	38 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: 213 Pearl Street, request for LPC for designation

WHEREAS: This building has been sold by Rockrose to the Laam Group to develop into a hotel, and as such is no longer subject to the agreement reached between Rockrose and SHPO, and

WHEREAS: The building dating from 1831 is one of the last four early Greek revival warehouses in Manhattan, with the cornice, window lintels, Flemish brick all intact, and

WHEREAS: Alan Solomon, the preservationist, has submitted a Request for Evaluation to the LPC, who have previously chosen not to act to designate the building an individual landmark, and

WHEREAS: Council Member Gerson has agreed to help facilitate a meeting between the Laam Group, Alan Solomon and the Community Board to reach agreement to protect the building, and

WHEREAS: The Committee agreed that the building should be preserved as part of a cultural landmark district recognizing that Pearl Street was the earliest commercial center in New York, now

THEREFORE  
BE IT  
RESOLVED

THAT: CB#1 urgently recommends that the Landmarks Preservation Commission move to protect the building as a part of a cultural landmark district for Lower Manhattan

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN  
RESOLUTION

DATE: OCTOBER 16, 2007

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE:	4 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC MEMBER VOTE:	1 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	38 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: Landmarks Preservation Commission Procedures

WHEREAS: CB#7 have asked CB#1 to review a letter they have adopted addressing the procedures the LPC use when considering Community Board resolutions, and

WHEREAS: The requested change in procedure is to circulate Community Board resolutions with all the other information that is considered by staff and Commissioners when reviewing applications, and

WHEREAS: In so doing the full content of the resolutions may contribute to the agency process which is contemplated by the NYC Charter, now

THEREFORE  
BE IT  
RESOLVED

THAT: CB#1 supports our Chair and the LC Committee members co-signing an appropriately worded letter requesting this change in procedure to the Chair of the Landmarks Preservation Commission.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN  
RESOLUTION

DATE: OCTOBER 16, 2007

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE:	4 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC MEMBER VOTE:	0 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	38 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: Battery Maritime Building Proposed Development Plan

WHEREAS: This breathtaking individual New York City landmark is slated for a substantial addition and other modifications, and

WHEREAS: The application is extremely complicated, and demands careful scrutiny, and

WHEREAS: The Landmarks Preservation Commission has agreed to hold open public comment until a later date, now

THEREFORE  
BE IT  
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 will issue a substantive resolution regarding this matter after further consideration.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN  
RESOLUTION

DATE: OCTOBER 16, 2007

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: SEAPORT/CIVIC CENTER

COMMITTEE VOTE: 6 In Favor    0 Opposed    0 Abstained    0 Recused  
BOARD VOTE:        33 In Favor    2 Opposed    0 Abstained    0 Recused

RE:                Collect Pond Park Reconstruction Proposal

WHEREAS: The Department of Parks and Recreation has presented a proposal to reconstruct Collect Pond Park as part of the Lower Manhattan Open Space Vision, and

WHEREAS: The reconstruction will enhance and expand open space for residents, workers and visitors in Lower Manhattan, and

WHEREAS: The Department of Parks and Recreation has agreed to come back to Community Board One with a final site plan that includes location of benches, lighting, and trash receptacles and a list of materials, and a schedule of operating hours and a security and maintenance plan, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT:             CB#1 approves this reconstruction proposal for Collect Pond Park, pending submission to Community Board One of final plans.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN  
RESOLUTION

DATE: OCTOBER 16, 2007

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: SEAPORT/CIVIC CENTER

COMMITTEE VOTE: 4 In Favor    0 Opposed    0 Abstained    0 Recused  
BOARD VOTE:        33 In Favor    2 Opposed    0 Abstained    0 Recused

RE:                DeLury Square Park Reconstruction Proposal

WHEREAS: The Department of Parks and Recreation has presented a proposal to expand and reconstruct DeLury Square Park as part of the Lower Manhattan Open Space Vision, and

WHEREAS: The Department of Parks and Recreation is negotiating with Southbridge Towers for acquisition of a portion of its property to include in the expansion, and

WHEREAS: The reconstruction will enhance and expand open space for residents, workers and visitors in Lower Manhattan, and

WHEREAS: The Department of Parks and Recreation has agreed to come back to Community Board One with a final site plan and a schedule of operating hours and a security plan for when the park is open and when it is gated and locked, now

THEREFORE  
BE IT  
RESOLVED

THAT:            CB#1 approves this reconstruction proposal for DeLury Square Park, pending submission to Community Board One of final plans including operating details, and

BE IT  
FURTHER  
RESOLVED

THAT:            Because there is no perceived consistency in police surveillance of the current park, CB #1 wants a guarantee that there will be visible and consistent police presence at the proposed park.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN  
RESOLUTION

DATE: OCTOBER 16, 2007

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE:	12 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC VOTE:	2 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	35 In Favor	5 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: Proposed Rezoning in the Tribeca Mixed Use District

WHEREAS: The Special Tribeca Mixed Use District was established to permit limited residential development in an otherwise industrial 62-block area, and

WHEREAS: In the northern portions of the district where the underlying zoning is manufacturing, certain older manufacturing buildings may be converted to loft dwellings and joint living work quarters for artists, and where the underlying zoning is commercial, new contextual residential development is permitted, and

WHEREAS: In 2005, New York City introduced an addition to the Inclusionary Housing Program which provides a floor area bonus for the construction of affordable housing, and

WHEREAS: Community Board One, the Department of City Planning, Councilmember Gerson's Office and the Manhattan Borough President's Office have worked in a joint effort to develop a comprehensive rezoning plan for the northern part of Tribeca that creates contextual commercial zones that provide, in some areas, for inclusionary housing, and

WHEREAS: In 2006 Community Board One adopted a resolution concerning the Northern Tribeca rezoning, now

THEREFORE  
BE IT  
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board One requests that the Department of City Planning rezone the northern area of the Special Tribeca Mixed Use District according to the following provisions:

- 1) From M15- to C6-3A in the area from Watts Street on the north to Hubert Street on the south; from West Street on the west to a line mid block between West Street and Washington Street on the east, with the following bulk provisions:
  - FAR 6.5
  - Height Limit 140 feet
  - Setback 65 feet, and
  
- 2) From M1-5 to C6-2-A in the area from Watts Street on the north to Hubert Street on the south; from a line mid block between West Street and Washington Street on the west and Washington Street on the east, with the following bulk provisions:
  - Far 5.5
  - Height Limit 110 feet
  - Setback 65 feet, and
  
- 3) From M1-5 to C6-2A in the area from Canal Street on the north; south on West Street to Watts Street; east on Watts Street to Washington Street; south on Washington Street to Hubert Street; east on Hubert Street to Greenwich Street; south on Greenwich Street to Beach Street; east on Beach Street to Collister Street; north on Collister Street to Laight Street and north on a line mid block between Greenwich Street and Hudson Street to Canal Street; west to West Street, with the following bulk provisions:
  - Far 5.5
  - Height Limit 110 feet
  - Setback 65 feet, and
  
- 4) From M1-5 to C6-2A in the area from Canal St. on the north; south on a line mid block between Greenwich St. and Hudson St. to Collister St.; south on Collister St. to Beach St.; east on Beach St. to Ericcson Pl.; east on Ericcson Pl. to a line one hundred feet west of Varick St.; south on a line one hundred feet west of Varick Street to N. Moore St.; east on N. Moore St. to West Broadway; north on West Broadway to Avenue of the Americas; north on Avenue of the Americas to Canal St.; west on Canal St. to Vestry St., west on Vestry St. to a line mid block between Hudson St. and Greenwich St.; north on a line mid block between Hudson St. and Greenwich St. to Canal St., with the following bulk provisions:
  - Far 5.4; 7.2 with Inclusionary Housing
  - Height Limit 120 feet
  - Setback 85 feet, and
  
- 5) From M1-5 to C6-2A in the area from Canal Street on the north; south on Avenue of the Americas to West Broadway; south on West Broadway to Walker Street; east on Walker Street to Broadway; north on Broadway to Canal Street, west on Canal Street to Avenue of the Americas, with the following bulk provisions:
  - Far 5.0
  - Height Limit 120 feet
  - Setback 85 feet, and

BE IT  
FURTHER  
RESOLVED  
THAT:

This resolution replaces the 2006 resolution.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN  
RESOLUTION

DATE: OCTOBER 16, 2007

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE:	12 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC VOTE:	4 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	32 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: Application for transfer of liquor license from MHA Entertainment Corp  
d/b/a Peppers to Wonderworks d/b/a Eros  
349 Broadway at Leonard Street

WHEREAS: Eros has requested transfer of a liquor license from Peppers as part of a  
consolidation of ownership, and

WHEREAS: The establishment is open until 4:00 AM and hosts a variety of live bands.  
DJ's and promoters, and

WHEREAS: Residents of the neighborhood appeared at the Tribeca Committee  
meeting to voice long-standing complaints regarding noise, congestion,  
public nuisance activities and subsequent disturbances, and

WHEREAS: The applicant did not appear at the Tribeca Committee meeting after being  
notified, now

THEREFORE  
BE IT  
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 strongly opposes the transfer of a liquor license from Peppers to  
Wonderworks d/b/a Eros at 349 Broadway.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN  
RESOLUTION

DATE: OCTOBER 16, 2007

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE:	11 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC VOTE:	3 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	33 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: Liquor license application for Friends at 134 Reade Street Inc.  
d/b/a DEKK  
134 Reade Street between West Broadway and Greenwich Street

WHEREAS: The establishment is a restaurant and bar, and

WHEREAS: The applicant proposes to operate a liquor license, and

WHEREAS: The proposed maximum hours of operation for food and bar service will be will be noon to midnight on weekdays and noon to 1:00 AM on weekends, and

WHEREAS: The total square footage is 2,500 and the number of tables/seats is 30/120 and the square footage of the bar area is 200 and the number of seats is 20, and

WHEREAS: There will be background music only, and

WHEREAS: The applicant will not seek a sidewalk café, and

WHEREAS: The applicant will not intend to seek a cabaret license, and

WHEREAS: The applicant will not engage outside promoters, and

WHEREAS: The applicant agrees to remove the benches on the sidewalk in front of the premises, and

WHEREAS: The applicant has agreed to these conditions to the SLA application, and

WHEREAS: The applicant agreed to not substantially change the method operation of the previous establishment, now

THEREFORE  
BE IT  
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 approves the license application for DEKK at 134 Reade Street.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN  
RESOLUTION

DATE: OCTOBER 16, 2007

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE:	10 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC VOTE:	4 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	33 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: Application for renewal of unenclosed sidewalk cafe for Anotherroom, Inc.  
d/b/a Anotherroom  
249 West Broadway

WHEREAS: The applicant has applied for renewal of an unenclosed sidewalk cafe license for 8 tables and 16 seats on Greenwich Street, and

WHEREAS: The proposed hours of operation will be no more than 8 AM until midnight Sunday through Thursday and 8 AM until 1:00 AM on Friday and Saturday, and

WHEREAS: The applicant has agreed to comply with all Department of Consumer Affairs regulations regarding maintenance of sidewalk width and the approved number of tables and chairs, now

THEREFORE  
BE IT  
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 approves the application for renewal of an unenclosed sidewalk café for Anotherroom at 249 West Broadway for a period of two years subject to compliance by the applicant with the limitations and conditions set forth above.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN  
RESOLUTION

DATE: OCTOBER 18, 2007

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE:	10 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC VOTE:	4 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	33 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: Application for renewal of unenclosed sidewalk Cafe for Harrison  
Greenwich, LLC. d/b/a The Harrison  
355 Greenwich Street at Harrison Street

WHEREAS: The applicant has applied for renewal of an unenclosed sidewalk cafe  
license for 15 tables and 30 seats on Greenwich Street, and

WHEREAS: The proposed hours of operation will be no more than 8 AM until  
midnight Sunday through Thursday and 8 AM until 1:00 AM on Friday  
and Saturday, and

WHEREAS: The applicant has agreed to comply with all Department of Consumer  
Affairs regulations regarding maintenance of sidewalk width and the  
approved number of tables and chairs and to remove an unauthorized table  
on Harrison Street, now

THEREFORE  
BE IT  
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 approves the application for renewal of an unenclosed sidewalk  
café for The Harrison at 355 Greenwich Street for a period of two years  
subject to compliance by the applicant with the limitations and conditions  
set forth above.