COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 13, 1989

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: NOMINATING

COMMITTEE VOTE:	In Favor	Opposed	Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	29 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: **Nominating Committee**

BE IT RESOLVED THAT: Community Board #1 amends its by-laws so that Paragraph II-A, "Election of Officers" reads as follows (note deleted language is in parenthesis and new language is underlined):

Election of Officers

A. Except as hereinafter set forth, at the Community Boards' regular meeting in the month of (April) March the Community Board Chairperson shall appoint a Nominating Committee consisting of 3, 5 or 7 members and shall designate one of their number to be the chairperson of said committee, unless, however, at the written request of at least 10 members of the Board, filed prior to the commencement of the (April) March executive session of the Board, a nominating committee of seven members shall be elected by secret ballot of the Board. The seven nominees receiving the highest number of votes shall constitute the nominating committee and the nominee amongst the seven who received the highest number of votes the Chairperson. Any tie or ties shall be resolved by lot. Such committee shall meet as often as necessary thereafter in order to report the Board at its May meeting one or more candidates for each of the offices of Chairperson, Vice-Chairperson, Secretary and Assistant Secretary, (plus such additional offices as the particular Board shall establish so long as the functions and duties of such additional offices are not in conflict with the offices of Chairperson, Vice-Chairperson, Secretary and Assistant Secretary) Treasurer and Assistant Treasurer. The committee may solicit Board members to become candidates and conduct interviews with potential candidates to determine those best qualified for office.

PG/lma
(resoluti.jun1/8)

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 13, 1989

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: CHARTER REVISION

COMMITTEE VOTE:	In Favor	Opposed	Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	23 In Favor	0 Opposed	5 Abstained

RE: **Community Board #1 Recommendations**

WHEREAS: Community Board #1 is on record with a comprehensive list of Charter Revision recommendations, and

WHEREAS: The Charter Revision Commission has issued its Preliminary Proposals (May 1989) which supports some Community Board #1 proposals, modifies some, and ignores others (see attached itemizations), and

WHEREAS: Nearly every good-government and Charter reform group has asked that the new Charter contain better checks and balances against the power of the Mayor both to legislate (propose) and to execute (dispose), particularly in land use decisions, and

WHEREAS: The Charter Revision Commission has made recommendations that would disband the Board of Estimate, transferring some of its powers to a larger City Council and to the considerably-enhanced offices of the Borough Presidents, and

WHEREAS: The Charter Revision Commission has considerably strengthened accountability and community input in many areas of government; improving budgeting; service delivery; decentralization of decisionmaking; the offices of Borough President, City Council President, Comptroller, and City Council; and open access to information, and

WHEREAS: The Charter Revision Commission, in order to establish accountability, has left the mayor with sole final authority to issue consultant, no-bid and other sole-source contracts, including those of the Public Development Corporation; and over all leases to be issued by the City over parkland, City-owned waterfront, and all other public spaces; and primary suasion over concessions in parks and other public spaces, and

WHEREAS: The Charter Revision Commission states that it is relying upon the investigative powers of the Borough President, City Council, and City Council President to keep this process honest, rather than submitting such decisions for approval by another authority, and

WHEREAS: The City Planning Commission emerges with quasi-legislative functions; i.e. it provides advice and consent to proposals by mayoral agencies, generates operations procedures for mayor-appointed boards which deal with franchises, contracts, and revocable consents, and creates major planning and use policy (e.g. co-drafts the "Statement of Need"), and

WHEREAS: The Charter Revision Commission gives the Mayor appointment of 4 of the 11 members of the new Planning Commission, including its chairperson, who, as head of the department, would effectively have control of the staff of many hundreds, while other appointees would have no accountable staff, and

WHEREAS: The Charter Revision Commission would require a 2/3 vote by City Council to override an agency decision (as represented by a mayoral veto), both on disposal of city-owned land and on city permissions for major private projects, and

WHEREAS: The Charter Revision Commission has recommended coterminality, where possible, between community board and city council districts, and

WHEREAS: The Charter Revision Commission has invited comment on how landmarks approvals should be given, offering the City Planning Commission and/or City Council as alternative bodies having the power to alter and veto, now

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT: Community Board #1 strongly urges that the Charter Revision Commission proposals on contracting and procurement; planning for site selection and disposition of city land; land use; and franchises, revocable consents, and concessions be rewritten with the following in mind:

1. The Charter Revision Commission should re-examine situations in which a simple majority of City Council should be sufficient to override an agency decision;
2. The mayor should have NO appointments to the quasi-legislative City Planning Commission, and the chair of same should be elected by its members, not appointed from outside the Commission, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT: If no form of these issues is acceptable both to the Charter Revision Commission and to the majority of community boards and charter reform groups, that these sections be tabled until the 1990 election so that a compromise may be worked out not in haste, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT: Community Board #1 endorses the balance of work of the Charter Revision Commission, but with the following additions:

1. Community Board #1 insists that approval of landmarks be restricted to an elective legislative body, as is the City Council, not to an appointive quasi-legislative one, as is the City Planning Commission; consequently we urge that alternative #1 be adopted.
2. Community Board #1 urges that denial of a landmark designation should be accomplished only by a 2/3 vote of the City Council.
3. Community Board #1 stands by its original recommendations concerning a community board right to file suit (1.i), establishment of a citywide board (1.j), Master Plan implementation (3.), and closing of loopholes in ULURP (5.).
4. City Planning Commission be required to have a 2/3 rds vote to override a community board decision.

JS/lma
(resoluti.jun15-17)

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 13, 1989

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: EXECUTIVE

COMMITTEE VOTE:	5 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	21 In Favor	1 Opposed	5 Abstained

RE: **Cabaret Regulations**

WHEREAS: Laws regulating cabaret music were struck down by the courts, and

WHEREAS: The DCP has rewritten the regulations in a way that distinguishes impact through size, kind, and hours of operation, not through musical instruments, and

WHEREAS: The zoning text change proposal provides for the following:

1. Background music in restaurants (no cover charge, no show time) would remain an accessory use and therefore as-of-right.
2. Small places (fewer than 175 capacity) without dancing are as-of-right in C4 and C6 districts, but only by Special Permit in M1-5A/B districts. (Use Group 6C).
3. Large places (175+) and places with dancing which close by 12:30 AM weekdays and 2:00 AM Fri/Sat would be as-of-right in C4 and C6, but would require a Special Permit in M1-5A/B. (Use Group 12).
4. Places with dancing which operate later than the above would be as-of-right only where residential use are prohibited and in midtown commercial districts; they would be by Special Permit in M1-5A/B, C4 and C6 zones. (Use Group 13).

WHEREAS: Special Permits would allow extensive noise-control measures to be built into establishments BEFORE they are allowed to open, including interior "holding pens" to contain customers waiting for entry so that they do not loiter outside on the public sidewalks, and

WHEREAS: These effectively become restrictions on discos, the same as those which were urged by Community Board #1 during negotiations with the DCP concerning the disco invasion of our loft districts, now

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT: Community Board #1 strongly supports adoption of the new zoning text amendment with the following revisions:

1. There should be no prohibition on live musicians in favor of recorded music in Sec. 52-34, nor anywhere in the zoning, because it is noise levels and impact, not their source, which should be regulated.
2. Because large places with dancing (#3 above) are difficult to live near no matter what their hours of operation, they should only be allowed by Special Permit, so that their construction can be mandated to have proper sound mitigation.
3. Community Board #1 requests that CPC concentrate on implementation of controls over large establishments with dancing, and establish a fast-track approval process for smaller places so that smaller non-dancing live music establishments are not discouraged by the process.
4. CPC should add C6 districts to those requiring special permits for category #3 above.

PG/lma
(resoluti.jun3-4/8)

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 13, 1989

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: EXECUTIVE

COMMITTEE VOTE:	5 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	26 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: **Site 5C**

WHEREAS: Community Board #1 has previously endorsed the use of Site 5C of the WSURA for open space, recreation, and community use, and

WHEREAS: The Public Development Corporation (PDC) has refused to entertain this option, and

WHEREAS: The warm months are already here, and the Washington Market Community Park is already extremely crowded, particularly with small children, and

WHEREAS: A portion of Site 5C is currently being used, illegally, for parking, and it derives no income for the City, now

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT: Community Board #1 authorizes a letter to PDC and relevant City agencies which insists that this portion of Site 5C be opened to community use for public recreation; and, further, that letters be authorized supporting the community groups organizing this effort, and sent to any agencies, public or private, which provide funding, equipment, or support for outdoor playspace for children.

PG/lma
(resoluti.jun2/8)

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 13, 1989

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: CIVIC CENTER

COMMITTEE VOTE:	9 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	28 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: **273 Water Street**

WHEREAS: HPD has requested approval to allow for the sale of 273 Water Street through public auction, and

WHEREAS: This building, known as the Capt. Joseph Rose House, is a well-known landmark structure which has been abandoned for many years and is in need of major repairs, and

WHEREAS: The deed to this property will strictly prohibit any additions to the building which would increase its size or bulk, and

WHEREAS: Any other structural changes contemplated by the new owner would be subject to approval by the Landmarks Preservation Commission, now

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT: Community Board #1 approves the application by HPD to allow for the sale of 273 Water Street through public auction, subject to landmark restrictions.

PG/lma
(resoluti.jun22/8)

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 13, 1989

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: CIVIC CENTER

COMMITTEE VOTE:	9 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	25 In Favor	5 Opposed	1 Abstained

RE: **OTB site at 110 Lafayette Street**

WHEREAS: OTB has proposed to replace their office at 254 Canal Street with a new an expanded office at 110 Lafayette Street, and

WHEREAS: The new facility will include a regular branch on the ground floor with a bathroom and a telebranch operation on the second floor including a sit-down upscale restaurant and bathrooms, and

WHEREAS: A similar OTB telebranch facility on Water Street has been well-run and well-received by the local community, now

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT: Community Board #1 approves the OTB proposal to establish a new expanded facility at 110 Lafayette Street.

PG/lma
(resoluti.jun21/8)

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 13, 1989

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: CIVIC CENTER

COMMITTEE VOTE:	9 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	29 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: **290 Broadway/Courthouse Annex**

WHEREAS: Senator Patrick Moynihan is considering introducing legislation which would enable the Federal Government to construct two new 800,000 sq. ft. buildings at 290 Broadway and at Foley Square (aka Courthouse Annex) without having to go through ULURP, and

WHEREAS: By-passing ULURP would enable the buildings to be built in a shorter time frame, and

WHEREAS: ULURP insures that the Community Board has input in the future development of this district which is one of our most important functions, and

WHEREAS: Waiving ULURP would establish a dangerous precedent and could encourage others to request a similar ULURP waiver thus denying the Community Board and this community an opportunity to be heard on important issues, now

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT: Community Board #1 disapproves any effort by the Federal Government to waive ULURP in the construction of 290 Broadway and the Courthouse Annex, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT: Community Board #1 urges Senator Moynihan, Congressman Weiss, and Congressman Green not to introduce legislation intended to by-pass ULURP for these projects.

PG/lma
(resoluti.jun20/8)

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 13, 1989

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: CIVIC CENTER

COMMITTEE VOTE:	9 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	21 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: **24-26 Peck Slip, LPC #892308**

WHEREAS: The building at 24-26 Peck Slip is in a dangerous state of disrepair and much of the structure has already collapsed, and

WHEREAS: Portions of the facade and building walls have recently fallen off and the braces holding up the remnants of the facade are only temporary and will soon lose their effectiveness, and

WHEREAS: Adjacent structures are endangered by the condition of this building, now

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT: Community Board #1 recommends that the Landmarks Preservation Commission approve the Certificate of Appropriateness application to demolish the structure at 24-26 Peck Slip.

PG/lma
(resoluti.jun23/8)

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 13, 1989

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: CIVIC CENTER

COMMITTEE VOTE:	9 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	21 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: **22 Peck Slip, LPC #892772**

WHEREAS: The owner of 22 Peck Slip proposes to restore the ground floor exterior to include new doors and transoms in the arched openings which will more accurately reflect the original appearance of this building, now

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT: Community Board #1 recommends that the Landmarks Preservation Commission approve the Certificate of Appropriateness application to restore the ground floor restaurant exterior at 22 Peck Slip.

PG/lma
(resoluti.jun24/8)

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 13, 1989

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: FINANCIAL DISTRICT

COMMITTEE VOTE:	5 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	18 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: **25 Broadway, BSA Calendar #157-89-BZ**

BE IT RESOLVED THAT: Community Board #1 recommends that the Board of Standards and Appeals approve the application filed by the 25 Broadway Realty Company to establish a physical culture establishment on the second floor, and in a portion of the first floor, basement and cellar of 25 Broadway.

PG/lma
(resoluti.jun12/8)

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 13, 1989

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: FINANCIAL DISTRICT

COMMITTEE VOTE:	5 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	17 In Favor	1 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: **Proposed Sidewalk Newsstand, S/E/C Trinity Place and Rector Place**

WHEREAS: Community Board #1 continues to be opposed to the proliferation of sidewalk newsstands in the financial district where narrow sidewalks and streets are heavily congested with pedestrian and vehicular traffic, and

WHEREAS: The newsstand is adjacent to a subway entrance, thereby further impeding pedestrain flow to and from the entrance, and

WHEREAS: The sidewalk is already obstructed by street furniture, including a mailbox, public telephones, a traffic sign, a traffic light, and a hydrant, now

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT: Community Board #1 is opposed to the proposed replacement and relocation of an existing sidewalk newsstand to the above referenced location, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT: The Department of Consumer Affairs instruct City departments reviewing this application to conduct inspections during AM and PM rush hours or lunch hour to make inspectors aware of the heavy pedestrian traffic and congestion at this location.

CR/lma
(resoluti.jun5/8)

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 13, 1989

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: WASHINGTON MARKET

COMMITTEE VOTE:	9 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	16 In Favor	0 Opposed	1 Abstained

RE: **125 Watts Street, Application for a Special Permit (ULURP #831909 ZSM)**

WHEREAS: One June 8, 1989 (50 days into its 60 day official period of review) Community Board #1 was advised by the Department of City Planning that certain language in the "Docket Description and Statement of Proposal" in the official **Notice of Certification** for the above-referenced application was in error, and

WHEREAS: Community Board #1 holds that the alteration of this language, in particular the change in text from "joint living-working quarters for artists" to "dwelling units" substantially alters the application as orginally certified, and

WHEREAS: For purposes of notification of the public hearing in the **City Record** the Community Board had already submitted the text as orginally transmitted to Community Board #1 by the Department of City Planning, and

WHEREAS: The applicant has only partially responded to a prior request from the Committee for additional information and action on their part; issues not addressed including:

1. Evidence that the building(s) has been registered as an Interim Multiple Dwelling (IMD)

2. Evidence that the applicant had fulfilled a commitment made to the Committee that the applicant would notify current tenants of the affected building(s) that the application had been filed and was under active consideration, and

WHEREAS: The applicant was not available to attend a second scheduled Committee meeting to represent the application, thus precluding follow-up and further discussion as to its merits, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED
THAT: Community Board #1 calls on the City Planning Commission to void the above-referenced application, require that it be re-certified and determine that the 60 day period of comment by the Community Board be begun anew.

HH/lma
(resoluti.jun28/8)

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 13, 1989

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: WASHINGTON MARKET

COMMITTEE VOTE:	9 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	17 In Favor	0 Opposed	1 Abstained

RE: **13 Hudson Street, Application for an Unenclosed Sidewalk Cafe**

WHEREAS: The set of drawings for the proposed sidewalk cafe which was submitted to Community Board #1 for its review has since been rejected by the Department of City Planning in a similar review, and

WHEREAS: The applicant has failed to state unequivocally that waiter/waitress service will be provided to sidewalk cafe patrons, as required by Department of Consumer Affairs' regulations, and

WHEREAS: The operation of a sidewalk cafe would obstruct pedestrian flow, as it would not allow for the required 8ft. passage, and

WHEREAS: The Community Board has been advised of and reported a variety of complaints regarding the current operation of the establishment including: improper ventilation of the kitchen, illegal use of kerosene lanters, poor storage and removal of garbage, illegal construction of sidewalk platforms, harassment of patrons and a recent violation from the Department of Health for operating without the proper permit, now

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT: Community Board #1 strenuously opposes the above referenced application and likewise urges that the Department of Consumer Affairs reject it.

HH/lma
(resoluti.jun19/8)

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 13, 1989

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: WASHINGTON MARKET

COMMITTEE VOTE:	9 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	18 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: **Lower Broadway Corridor Study**

WHEREAS: The Department of City Planning (DCP) is conducting a study of the Lower Manhattan area pursuant to a request by CB #1 to review and rezone on area to the east of the Special Lower Manhattan Mixed-Use District, and

WHEREAS: DCP has made an initial presentation of their preliminary study and survey of this district, and

WHEREAS: DCP is now preparing to present their conclusions and recommendations for the area, now

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT: Community Board #1 supports the following:

1. That DCP only propose changes in the area to the east of the LMM Zone and that no changes be made in the existing LMM Zone;

2. That based on the survey done by DCP the original intent of the LMM Zone which was to preserve manufacturing and warehousing in this area has been relatively successful and therefore that the LMM Zone remain unchanged by this proposal and that the only changes proposed be made in the area to the east of the LMM Zone which was the area that CB #1 recommended be rezoned to be more compatable with the existing neighborhood;

3. That the existing C6-4 zoning for this area is incompatible with the LMM Zone and that buildings like that proposed for 105 Duane Street are completely out of character with the existing neighborhood and with the proposed landmarking of that neighborhood and should be rezoned to allow for buildings more in scale with the LMM Zone;

4. That the Civic Center and the existing governmental buildings should be excluded from this survey since they are of a different character than the rest of the survey area;

5. That the name of this study should be changed from Lower Broadway Corridor Study which fails to reflect the fact that this area is an exisiting and vital neighborhood and that the name should be changed to the Lower Manhattan Mixed Use District Extension Study which more accurately reflects the changes which we would like to see and more accurately reflects the nature of the neighborhood;

6. Finally, we reiterate our opposition to to spot zoning and our adamant opposition to any changes in the LMM Zones whatsoever.

KF/lma
(resoluti.jun26-27/8)

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 13, 1989

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: WASHINGTON MARKET

COMMITTEE VOTE:	9 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	18 In Favor	0 Opposed	1 Abstained

RE: **374 Broadway**

WHEREAS: The building proposed for 374 Broadway is a 25 story residential building, and

WHEREAS: 374 Broadway falls within both a proposed historic district and the proposed downzoned areas, and

WHEREAS: The 421-a program is a tax abatement designed to be used to encourage housing construction in areas which would not otherwise have been built, now

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT: Community Board #1 is opposed to the granting of a 421-a tax abatement to 374 Broadway in that it is out of scale and character with the surrounding neighborhood, that residential services in this area are already overloaded and that a tax abatement should not be granted to a building which would have been built anyway without the abatement.

/lma
(resoluti.jun25/8)

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 13, 1989

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: BATTERY PARK CITY

COMMITTEE VOTE:	5 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	15 In Favor	1 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: **225 Rector Place, Parking Garage (#N860666 ZAM)**

WHEREAS: While at the same time endeavoring to assure that residents of BPC have adequate parking spaces available for their use, yet not wanting the operation of an off street parking garage to result in traffic congestion and air and noise pollution, now

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT: Community Board #1 recommends that the City Planning Commission approve the above-referenced application but only on the condition that the owner and operator restrict the garage to "accessory use" only, pursuant to applicable zoning regulations and thereby not allow parking for the general public.

HH/lma
(resoluti.jun18/8)

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 13, 1989

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRANSPORTATION & UNIFORMED SERVICES

COMMITTEE VOTE:	5 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	18 In Favor	0 Opposed	1 Abstained

RE: **Fulton Street Subway Entrance**

WHEREAS: For more than one year, Community Board #1, local residents, the South Street Seaport Museum, Seaport Marketplace, Inc. and the Seaport Community Coalition have been complaining to the NYC Transit Authority regarding the weekend and evening closing of two highly visible subway entrances on Fulton Street at William Street, and

WHEREAS: Fulton Street is an extremely busy street seven days per week due in large part to the heavy pedestrian flow of visitors to and from the South Street Seaport which is the third most popular tourist attraction in NYC attracting 12 million visitors per year, and

WHEREAS: The South Street Seaport, which generates $16 million in tax revenue for the City every year, estimates that roughly 75% of its visitors come on weekends, and reports that the evening, and after-work visitor count is also extremely high, and

WHEREAS: The Transit Authority continues to refuse to keep the two Fulton Street entrances open citing the fact that another single entrance around the corner at 130 William Street is open 24 hours per day, and

WHEREAS: The entrance at 130 William Street is located in a private commercial building which has a single tiny sign which is almost invisible to most subway users and the Transit Authority signage in and around the closed Fulton Street entrances does not adequately direct people to the William Street entrance, and

WHEREAS: The William Street entrance is dimly lit and often dirty and requires commuters to go down two flights of stairs, one of which cannot be seen from the street entrance, and is therefore considered unsafe by many people, and

WHEREAS: William Street is nearly deserted on weekends, and

WHEREAS: It should clearly be the policy of the Transit Authority to make subway travel to and from major NYC attractions as safe and easy as possible, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED
THAT: Community Board #1 calls upon the New York City Transit Authority to execute the following:

1. Change the hours of operation of the entrance at the Southeast corner of Fulton and William Streets to be 24 hours, 7 days/wk.
2. Change the hours of operation of the 130 William Street entrance to be 5 days/wk (M-F) 7:30 AM to 7:30 PM.
3. Change the hours of operation of the entrance at the Southwest corner of Fulton Street and William Street to be 5 days/wk (M-F) 1:30 PM to 9:10 PM.
4. Install security gates as necessary at the 130 William Street entrance to guarantee safety of commuters.

PG/lma
(resoluti.jun13-14/8)

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 13, 1989

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LIBRARY*

COMMITTEE VOTE:	2 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	17 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: **Budget Cuts for New York Public Library**

WHEREAS: The Mayor has proposed funding cuts for the NY Public Library that will result in closing libraries an additional day per week, and

WHEREAS: Public libraries serve as local, easily accessible sources of books and information, and provide safe, quiet places for people to sit, read, research, do homework and seek assistance, and

WHEREAS: In a time when our economy and housing shortage are crownding people into scarce apartments, when our schools are failing, and crime and drugs are becoming epidemic, young people more than ever need a safe haven where learning is possible and encouraged, and

WHEREAS: During the Great Depression of the 1930's, public libraries were kept open 7 days a week, recognizing the increased need for such a resource, and

WHEREAS: If library hours become scarce, irregular and inconvenient, people will fall out of the habit, or never develop the habit of using libraries and our city and society will have cut a fragile link between its people and vital information, and

WHEREAS: Under the current system, Saturday hours are very costly and cannot be included as part of branches' regular weekly hours, which severely limits the availability of the library to working residents, families and youth, and

WHEREAS: Community Board #1 has worked very hard to obtain a branch library and wants to ensure that it becomes an important and accessible part of the community, serving employees, residents, youth and families, now

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT: Community Board #1 strongly opposes any cuts in library services, and

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JUNE 13, 1989

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: SOCIAL SERVICES

COMMITTEE VOTE:	3 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	17 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: **NYC Para-transit Plan**

WHEREAS: Community Board #1 welcomes the steps taken by the New York City Department of Transportation to establish a para-transit system throughout the City for the elderly and the mass transit disabled, and

WHEREAS: The majority of the disabled, both young and old, are people with low incomes for whom the cost of the ride is critical, and

WHEREAS: The recommendation of the Department of Transportation for a fare schedule of $1, $2 and $3 would in essence, deny access to 40% of the elderly handicapped who have incomes of less than $10,000 a year, and

WHEREAS: The City of New York should not lag behind other major cities in the U.S. which charge less than $1 for a one-way trip on para-transit, and

WHEREAS: Easyride, now providing round trip fares of $1, would, out of necessity have to conform to the higher fare scale, thus depriving countless present riders of this established and essential service, and

WHEREAS: The para-transit plan also is limited in elgibility criteria based on degree of impairment and that authorization to certify these criteria is limited to physicians, occupational and physical therapists, thereby placing hardship on elderly applicants, now

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT: Community Board #1 requests that the NYC Department of Transportation charge elderly the same fare (fifty cents one-way) for para-transit as for mass transit and that the City and the State of New York explore additional sources of funding, including utilizing 3rd party payments and enlisting corporate support, to enhance the system and provide a continual flow of operational funds, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED
THAT: The Department of Transportation take steps to resolve hardships and obstacles that may deter the elderly in need of this service from participating in the para-transit system, including extending to social workers authorization to certify criteria for elgibility.

/lma
(resoluti.jun6-7/8)