

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: FEBRUARY 15, 1994

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: EXECUTIVE

COMMITTEE VOTE:	8 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	27 In Favor	1 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: Preliminary Budget

WHEREAS: Mayor Giuliani has now released his preliminary budget which calls for sharp reductions in City personnel, elimination and/or consolidation of some City agencies, union concessions, and in general represents a dramatic new approach to address a projected \$2.3 billion budget gap in fiscal year 1995, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 applauds Mayor Giuliani for taking decisive steps to overcome the City's structural budgetary deficit, for seeking out new ways to improve the delivery of City services, and for emphasizing the importance of encouraging private sector growth and investment in the City, and

BE IT

FURTHER

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 strongly urges Mayor Giuliani to utilize the special knowledge of Community Boards regarding the needs of their districts and to better incorporate our advice into the budget recommendations that he and other City officials make, and

BE IT

FURTHER

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 reiterates our strong support for the budgetary requests for our district and in particular:

- Construction of new elementary and intermediate schools
- Construction of a permanent park, indoor recreation facility or school on sites 5B & 5C (Greenwich St., Warren St., West St., Chambers St.)
- Construction of a new park at 250 Water St
- Reconstruction of Cliff St
- Restore cleaning of the Fulton Fish Market
- Rescind cuts in mechanical sweeping

- Restoration of the "Clean Team" program
- Increased police personnel for the 1st Pct.
- Continued funding for Fulton Fish Market manager and staff, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT:

CB #1 also makes the following recommendations regarding Mayor Giuliani's preliminary budget:

- Shift Dept. of Consumer Affairs responsibilities to the Public Advocate's Office
- Provide adequate funding to continue six day per week library service including additional funding for library books and materials, and new funding for CLASP to enable libraries to better serve young students in grades K through 8
- Provide funds to conduct the necessary environmental review of the Tribeca rezoning actions so that they can be put through ULURP later this year
- Insure that budget reductions do not inequitably harm the poor residents of the City

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: FEBRUARY 15, 1994

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA WASHINGTON MARKET

COMMITTEE VOTE:	8 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	26 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: Greenmarket Alongside Washington Market Park

WHEREAS: Greenmarket proposes to relocate (Wednesdays only) the market it currently operates alongside Washington Market Park to Greenwich St., between N. Moore and Hubert St., and

WHEREAS: The market would continue to operate Saturdays alongside Washington Market Park, and

WHEREAS: Representatives of Greenmarket advise that while the Saturday market remains viable financially, the Wednesday market is doing sufficiently poorly so as to jeopardize its continuances, and

WHEREAS: Greenmarket's proposal to move the Wednesday market to 388-390 Greenwich St. is in an attempt to stabilize it by appealing to the 500+ employees of Smith Barney Shearson at the site; meanwhile, the market would remain easily accessible to its many patrons of long standing, and

WHEREAS: Smith Barney Shearson supports the move of the Wednesday market to in front of its headquarters, and in a display of this support has offered to purchase (up to \$1,500) uniform canopies for the market's stands, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 supports Greenmarket's proposal to relocate the Wednesday operation of its market from alongside Washington Market Park to Greenwich St. between N. Moore St. and Hubert St.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: FEBRUARY 15, 1994

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA HISTORIC DISTRICTS

COMMITTEE VOTE:	4 In Favor	0 Opposed	1 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	26 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: 414 Broadway, Application for Certificate of Appropriateness

WHEREAS: The building is located on the east side of Broadway between Canal St. and Walker St. within the Tribeca East Historic District, and

WHEREAS: The applicant proposes to modify the existing storefront by changing the glass window, replacing it with a sliding window which can be used to sell merchandise from the street, and

WHEREAS: The applicant is to be commended for removing the solid metal gates, by placing the gate behind the display window, and

WHEREAS: The Community Board urges the applicant and Landmarks Preservation Commission staff to review all the signage not shown on the plan before us (especially the signs mounted on the fire escape), and

WHEREAS: The Community Board urges the applicant to remove existing graffiti and attempt to maintain the storefront graffiti-free, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 has no objection to the application.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: FEBRUARY 15, 1994

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: SEAPORT/CIVIC CENTER

COMMITTEE VOTE:	6 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	27 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: Park Row/Nassau St. Historic District

WHEREAS: The area of Park Row adjacent to City Hall Park and Nassau St. from Spruce St. to John St, includes many historically and architecturally significant buildings, and

WHEREAS: Few of these buildings have been designated as landmark structures, and

WHEREAS: Immediate steps should be taken to protect and preserve these important and valuable buildings; now

THEREFORE

BE IT

FURTHER

THAT: CB #1 strongly urges that the Landmarks Preservation Commission study the buildings in the Park Row/Nassau St. area and create a Park Row /Nassau St. Historic District to protect the historically and architecturally significant buildings in this portion of Lower Manhattan, and

BE IT

FURTHER

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 is particularly concerned with the fate of 1-3 Park Row, which is vacant and should be immediately considered by the LPC for landmark designation.