

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: OCTOBER 18, 1994

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA/WASHINGTON MARKET COMMITTEE

COMMITTEE VOTE:	8 In Favor	1 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	29 In Favor	2 Opposed	6 Abstained

RE: Hudson River Waterfront Park Legislation

WHEREAS: For more than a dozen years since the demise of Westway no progress has been made in convincing the many city, state and federal bureaucracies that a park should be built along our rapidly decaying waterfront, and

WHEREAS: The community has been presented with legislation intended to provide for the creation and protection of the Hudson River Waterfront Park, and

WHEREAS: This legislation includes prohibitions against residential, commercial office and hotel uses and provides that all revenues generated by uses within the state-owned park area be deposited into a fund which shall be used for planning, creation and maintenance of the park, and

WHEREAS: The governor and the current mayor must agree on a course of action on the waterfront in order that anything happen, and

WHEREAS: The current bill is sponsored by longtime proponents of a waterfront park, who inform us that the legislation is acceptable to the mayor and to the governor, who will sign it into law once it is passed by the legislature, if it is acceptable to the community, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 supports the proposed Hudson River Waterfront Park legislation and strongly urges the following amendments:

1. The governing board of the responsible agency or entity must be expanded to include members appointed by each of the three community boards, and the percentage of community representation must be established at no less than 25% in the event the board is further expanded.

2. The prohibited uses within the park area be amended to add commercial retail which is not clearly related to park, recreation or maritime activities (e.g., floating casinos, mega-stores, Seaport-type shopping malls). Also, that the language outlining this exception and the exception for commercial office be extremely restrictive so as to prohibit any large commercial office or commercial retail use.
3. Any agency or entity responsible for creating the park must be required to obey all local zoning and other laws and requirements (not just the HRPC, as the legislation would direct).
4. In order that the legislature avoid validating the HRPC before the current lawsuit is completed, language referring to the HRPC should be replaced by "the entity or agency responsible for creating the waterfront park".
5. "Site Revenues" be amended to include revenues payable to NYC so that they will also be deposited into the park fund.
6. The legislation should further define the park related use definitions of the Hudson River Protection Act of 1990, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: This legislation must not be used as a pretext for the failure to submit a good-faith Payback Waiver application, and CB #1 hereby affirms its support for the preparation and submission of such an application, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 strongly urges that all our legislators and elected officials work expeditiously to resolve their differences with the legislation so that we can finally build without further delay a Hudson River waterfront park.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: OCTOBER 18, 1994

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRANSPORTATION & CONCESSIONS

COMMITTEE VOTE:	2 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	24 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: Blue Zone Enforcement

WHEREAS: The Blue Zone is confusing to many motorists, and

WHEREAS: The Blue Zone signage regulations for parking are permissive instead of restrictive, and

WHEREAS: The DOT-Bureau of Traffic has not replaced missing signs promptly, and

WHEREAS: The painting of the blue line is not maintained satisfactorily by the Bureau of Traffic, and

WHEREAS: The Blue Zone lies entirely within Community Board #1, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: Traffic Enforcement Agents immediately be prohibited from issuing summonses within the Blue Zone at all locations which are not clearly marked with a blue line painted parallel to the curb, since such line is required by the NYC Parking Regulations, Section 4-08 (l.), and

BE IT

FURTHER

RESOLVED

THAT: The Department of Transportation shall immediately deliver written instructions to each Traffic Enforcement Agent prohibiting the issuance of tickets in the Blue Zone unless the foregoing paragraph is complied with; and that a copy of the instructions, so delivered, be sent to the Community Board within 14 days after the passage of this resolution, and

BE IT

FURTHER

RESOLVED

THAT: Signage in the Blue Zone and at all entrances to the Blue Zone be improved to indicate the limits of the Blue Zone and all other material information concerning the aforementioned Sect. 4-08 (I.), and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: Such improved signage be an additional condition to the enforcement of the aforementioned Sect. 4-08 (I.).

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: OCTOBER 18, 1994

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA/WASHINGTON MARKET

COMMITTEE VOTE:	6 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	28 In Favor	0 Opposed	1 Abstained

RE: Pier 25 Uses

WHEREAS: The Hudson River Park Conservancy has approached the Community Board for recommendations of possible interim uses on Pier 25, and

WHEREAS: The Community Board recommends some existing elements not be removed at this time, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 supports in principle the following existing interim uses on Pier 25:

1. The volleyball courts
2. Miniature golf course
3. Rotating temporary sculpture garden
4. Stage for outdoor performances (excluding those with amplified sound), and

BE IT

FURTHER

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 proposes the following interim uses for the pier:

1. Boat dock (our highest priority)
2. Children's play area using nautical themes and equipment
3. Bicycle service area
4. Historical markers, and

BE IT

FURTHER

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 requests that any plans for these uses on the pier (which should specify the location and size of any structures as well as a full description of how the facilities will be operated) be submitted to the Community Board for our prior review and timely approval.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: OCTOBER 18, 1994

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA HISTORIC DISTRICTS

COMMITTEE VOTE:	7 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	24 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: 385 Greenwich St., Application to the Landmarks Preservation Commission for a Certificate of Appropriateness

WHEREAS: The applicant will expose the columns and install wooden doors with glass panels glazed with a single pane of glass with "clip-on faux mullions" in the interior, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 recommends that the application be approved, at the same time expressing a concern about the look of the "clip-on faux mullions" from the interior.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: OCTOBER 18, 1994

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA HISTORIC DISTRICTS

COMMITTEE VOTE:	4 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	24 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: 72-74 Leonard St., Application to the Landmarks Preservation Commission for a Certificate of Appropriateness

WHEREAS: The applicant will replace the aluminum panels with wood painted Hunter Green, and will install wooden doors with clear glass panels with gold leaf lettering, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 recommends that the application be approved as submitted, with the recommendation that the final proposed lettering and logos be kept to a minimum.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: OCTOBER 18, 1994

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: QUALITY OF LIFE

COMMITTEE VOTE:	4 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	25 In Favor	1 Opposed	2 Abstained

RE: Proposed Moratorium on Adult Establishments

WHEREAS: The City is debating future legislation regarding "Adult Establishments", and

WHEREAS: The City Planning Commission is proposing an amendment to the Zoning Resolution (N950113ZRY) calling for a one year moratorium on the establishment, extension, or expansion of Adult Establishments as an interim solution, and

WHEREAS: CB #1 supports limitations on adult entertainment facilities in order to enhance our quality of life, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 strongly supports proposed amendment, N950113ZRY to the Zoning Resolution.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: OCTOBER 18, 1994

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: BUDGET

COMMITTEE VOTE:	2 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	28 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: Capital and Expense Budget Request for FY 1996

BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 approves the budget requests for our district as prioritized on the attached.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: OCTOBER 18, 1994

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: YOUTH

COMMITTEE VOTE:	8 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	24 In Favor	0 Opposed	2 Abstained

RE: Department of Youth Services' funding of programs

WHEREAS: The Department of Youth Services (DYS) is in disarray as evidenced by its inability to fund its approved programs on a timely basis, and

WHEREAS: Many youth programs have been forced to delay their starting date because they haven't received advanced funding from the City as they are supposed to, and

WHEREAS: This problem is particularly troublesome for smaller agencies such as Manhattan Youth Recreation and Resources which provides most of CB #1's youth programs and relies very heavily on City funding, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 calls upon the Mayor's Office, Comptroller's Office and City Council to intervene and quickly correct the contract funding problems at the DHS which is seriously jeopardizing the delivery of youth programming here in CB #1 and throughout the City.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: OCTOBER 18, 1994

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: YOUTH

COMMITTEE VOTE:	8 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained
BOARD VOTE:	26 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained

RE: Youth Coordinator

WHEREAS: CB #1 is one of many Community Boards which have been forced to operate for many months without a Youth Coordinator, and

WHEREAS: Youth coordinators perform a variety of important and beneficial services for the Youth Committee and the entire youth population of the district, and

WHEREAS: It is grossly unfair that most Community Boards continue to maintain full-time Youth Coordinators while others are not permitted to fill their vacant slots, and

WHEREAS: The City Council announced with great fanfare last June that these Youth Coordinator slots would be filled because they had restored the funding, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 requests that the City Council and Speaker Vallone take immediate steps to insure that the DYS fill the vacant Youth Coordinator slots including that of CB #1, as per the budget agreement, and

BE IT

FURTHER

RESOLVED

THAT: If, for any reason, there is a delay in this hiring process, the remaining Youth Coordinators should be reassigned so that every Community Board has some amount of DYS staff assistance in planning youth services in their community.